

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

4.

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/024,114	12/18/2001		David Henry Solomon	56104576-49	3501
7:	590)	02/24/2003			
James D. Jaco			EXAMINER		
Baker & McKe 805 Third Aver	าแอ		PEZZUTO, HELEN LEE		
New York, NY 10022			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1713	9
				DATE MAILED: 02/24/2003	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				6/						
••		Application No.	Applicant(s)							
	•	10/024,114	SOLOMON ET AL	<del>-</del> .						
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit							
	(RESTRIETION OWLY)	Helen L. Pezzuto	1713							
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status										
1) 🗌	Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n								
2a) <u></u> □	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)	This action is non-final.								
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims										
4)🛛	Claim(s) $1-45$ is/are pending in the application	cation.								
4	a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	thdrawn from consideration.								
5) 🗌	Claim(s) is/are allowed.									
6)	Claim(s) is/are rejected.									
7) 🗌	Claim(s) is/are objected to.									
8) Claim(s) 1-45 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.										
Application Papers										
9)□ T	he specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.								
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.										
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).										
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.										
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.										
12)[] T	he oath or declaration is objected to by the	ne Examiner.								
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120									
13)🛛 🛚	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo	oreign priority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)[∑	∄All b) Some * c) None of:									
•	<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority docu</li> </ol>	ments have been received.								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No										
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>										
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).										
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.										
Attachment(s)										
2) Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper N	(8) 5) Notice	ew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No of Informal Patent Application (PT							

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Art Unit: 1713

## DETAILED ACTION

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-19, drawn to a crosslinked polymer system, classified in class 526, subclass 303.1.
  - II. Claims 20-27, drawn to an article, classified in class 428, subclass 304.4+.
  - III. Claims 28-45, drawn to a method for forming a crosslinked polymer system, classified in class(es) 525, and/or 526, subclass various.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions I and II are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediate-final product relationship.

Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product (MPEP § 806.04(b), 3rd paragraph), and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP § 806.04(h)). In the instant case, the intermediate product is deemed to be useful as is in and of itself without the presence of additional constituents, which would react in-situ to produce a mutually exclusive final

Application/Control Number: 10/024,114

Art Unit: 1713

product species, and the inventions are deemed patentably distinct since there is nothing on this record to show them to be obvious variants. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions anticipated by the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 3. Inventions III and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the crosslinked polymer can be formed by other methods involving different process steps such as admixing the monomer solution with an initiator prior to contacting the admixture with a crosslinking agent.
- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of

Application/Control Number: 10/024,114

Art Unit: 1713

their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

5. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: The . monomer species inclusive of acrylamide derivatives and ester derivatives.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1, 20, and 28 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant

Application/Control Number: 10/024,114

Art Unit: 1713

must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

6. A telephone call was made to Mr. Frank M. Gasparo on 2/18/03 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

7. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must

Application/Control Number: 10/024,114 Page 6

Art Unit: 1713

be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Helen L. Pezzuto whose telephone number is (703) 308-2393. The examiner can normally be reached on 8 AM to 4 PM, Monday thru Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Wu can be reached on (703) 308-2450. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 892-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (70/3) 308-0661.

Helen L. Pezzuto Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1713

hlp February 19, 2003